

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,399	10/01/2003	David V. Smith	HM-87423	8881	
24982	7590 04/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	I J. HOVET	SWIATEK, ROBERT P			
NORDMAN P.O. BOX 9	I, CORMANY, HAIR & 100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1000 TOWN	CENTER DRIVE	3643			
OXNARD, CA 93031-9100			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/677,399	SMITH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert P. Swiatek	3643			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , ,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (PTO-348) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-1-03. Other:					
· ·		·				

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9-13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22-24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burkey (US 1,515,547). The Burkey patent discloses an electric fish barrier disposed in flowing water within a trough 1. The barrier includes three spaced supports 5 extending across the trough, each support having a series of electrodes 6 attached to it that generate an electrical current in the water (see Figures 1, 2 of Burkey). In one embodiment (Figure 7 of Burkey), the electrodes extend upwardly from the bottom of the trough, being supported by attaching elements 9 secured to an electrically insulative foundation (unnumbered, but shown in Figures 9, 10 as a cross-hatched section) atop the trough bottom.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8, 16, 19, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkey. While the Burkey supports 5 are not arcuate in form nor is insulation employed between the electrodes and their attachment elements 10, 11, use of curved electrode arrays and Application/Control Number: 10/677,399

Art Unit: 3643

insulation with the Burkey fish stop would have been obvious to one skilled in the art wishing to,

Page 3

respectively, enhance the magnitude of the barrier zone by increasing the number of electrodes

without decreasing their spacing and limit current flow only to the electrodes rather than adjacent

elements as well.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as

the invention. In claim 1, lines 8, 9, "said primary conductive members" lacks a prior antecedent

basis, in lines 12, 13, "said complementary conductive members" lacks a prior antecedent basis,

in line 16, use of the term "form" is confusing.

Claims 14, 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 4, an

application serial number should be inserted after "No."; on page 12, line 6, the phrase -taken

along line 6-6- should be inserted after "reservoir."

Appropriate correction is required.

The patents to Applegate et al. (US 2,778,140), Sharber (US 5,531,377), and Maehata et

al. (US 6,357,390 B1) have been cited to provide additional examples of electric fish screens.

RPS: 1703/308-2700

23 March 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 333 3643